Assessing aerosol related uncertainties in the NextSENSE2 system

REYOND K. Papachristopoulou^{1,2}, I. Fountoulakis^{2,3}, D. Kouklaki², C. Kontoes¹, and S. Kazadzis⁴



 Institute for Astronomy, Astrophysics, Space Applications and Remote Sensing, National Observatory of Athens (IAASARS/NOA), Athens, Greece; (2) Department of Geology and Geoenvironment, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece; (3) Research Centre for Atmospheric Physics and Climatology, Academy of Athens, Athens, Greece (4) Physikalisch Meteorologisches Observatorium Davos, World Radiation Center (PMOD/WRC), Switzerland.



NextSENSE2 Solar energy short term (3h ahead) forecasting

operational system

https://beyondweb1.space.noa.gr/solar/

2) The aim of this study

≻For areas with rare cloudiness, especially during the dry period of the year, aerosols are the main attenuator of solar energy reaching the earth's surface [1], hence





Operational area: Europe and MENA region (Middle East and North Africa) Spatial resolution: ~5km x5km at subsatellite point Temporal resolution: 15min

3 Example of an aerosol affected Station – Tamanraset

The related uncertainties introduced to modelled GHI using NextSENSE2 aerosol inputs were assessed against modelled GHI with ground-based aerosol inputs from the AERONET network.

Sensitivity analysis was performed for the different aerosol inputs (AOD, AE, SSA).

➢In this study, the <u>accuracy</u> of the aerosol optical properties used as input to the NextSENSE2 system (Table 1, first two columns) is assessed, under clear sky conditions, using ground-based measurements (Table 1, third column) from 10 stations (Figure 1) from the AERONET network [2] for a whole year (2017).

Table 1. Aerosol optical properties

	NextSENSE2 Inputs	Ground based Measurements AERONET
aerosol optical depth	1-day forecast from Copernicus	Interpolated from



(4) Clear sky GHI differences due to aerosol inputs

ய **0.05**்,



•The overall clear sky GHI MBE is -0.9 W/m^2 (<1%)

•Differences in AOD explain clear sky GHI differences for most of the stations, and sometimes these differences are enhanced by differences in SSA (Cab, Lin)

•Overestimation for Car and Tam and underestimation for The is explained by **SSA differences**

AOD sensitivity



E 0.03 ອີ້ 0.02 -Q 0.01 Q 0.00 tam pal Station GHI MBE (W/ m^2) sky Cle cab tam cam car cnr pal **Station**

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by the EXCELSIOR project (grant agreement No 857510). **EXCELSIOR** Kyriakoula Papachristopoulou would like to acknowledge funding for the participation at EMS2023 from the COST Action HARMONIA (International network for harmonization of atmospheric aerosol retrievals from ground based photometers), CA21119

References

[1] Fountoulakis I. et al., Remote Sens. 13(12), 2319, <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13122319</u>, 2021.
[2] Holben, B. N. et al., Remote Sens. Environ., 66, 1–16, <u>doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(98)00031-5</u>, 1998.
[3] Kinne S., Tellus B: Chem. and Phys. Met., 71:1, 1-21, <u>DOI: 10.1080/16000889.2019.1623639</u>, 2019.

Corresponding author e-mail: <u>kpapachr@noa.gr</u>

Bratislava, Slovakia & Online | 3–8 September 2023

HARMONIA

